Abstract. Using pitfall traps, the species composition and abundance of solpugids were studied in several ecotopes of Chile's transitional coastal desert. The study was conducted in the area around Punta de Choros (29º15'S, 71º26'W) and in Los Choros Archipelago (29º32'S, 67º61'W), in 2005 and 2006. Five species were recorded: Procleobis sp.; Sedna pirata Muma, 1971 (Ammotrechidae); Mummucia sp.; Mummucia variegata (Gervais, 1849) (Mummuciidae); and Ammotrechelis goetschi Roewer, 1934 (Daesiidae). Solpugid abundance was higher on the continent (65%) than on the islands (35%). The ANOSIM used to evaluate any difference in species richness between ecotopes revealed no significant differences (R = 0.097, p = 0.13). The similarity dendrogram obtained from the Bray-Curtis matrix indicates that there are 3 groups of ecotopes: steppe, dune, and a miscellaneous group. From the data it is inferred that the diversity and abundance of solpugids in the ecotopes studied may be related to plant structure and to the pedological conditions of the habitat.
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Introduction

The order Solifugae is considered a meso-diverse taxon with almost 1100 species described worldwide (Harvey, 2002, 2003; Shultz, 2007). Solpugids inhabit mainly arid and semiarid ecosystems, such as those found in Atacama, Chile (Cepeda-Pizarro et al., 2005); Paracas, Peru (Catenazzi et al., 2009); El Monte, Argentina (Flores et al., 2004); and New Mexico, USA (Muma, 1979; Brookhart and Brantley, 2000; Duval and Whitford, 2009). In northern Chile, the ecosystems located in the transitional desert coastal (i.e., 25-32° Lat S, TDC hereafter) are noteworthy in terms of their biological diversity, endemism, and the prospective conservation of their biota (Rundel et al., 1991; Cepeda-Pizarro et al., 2005). Among the arthropods found in the TDC, only a small number of taxa have attracted researchers' attention.
For example, the orders of Arachnida that have received the most study are Scorpiones (Ojanguren-Affilastro, 2002; Agusto et al., 2006; Ojanguren-Affilastro et al., 2007) and Acari (Covarrubias et al., 1964, 1976; Cepeda-Pizarro, 1989; Cepeda-Pizarro et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1996). Research on Solifugae, in turn, is limited to 2 contributions, namely Cepeda-Pizarro et al. (2005) who studied the effect of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) on the soil-arthropod assemblage and Valdivia et al. (2008) who examined the arthropod density-activity in coastal dunes.

From the point of view of biological diversity and endemism, one of the most important coastal ecosystems of the TDC is the Pingüino de Humboldt National Reserve, formed by the islands of Choros (29°32’S, 67°61’W) and Damas (29°13’S, 71°31’W). These islands, along with Gaviota Island (29°15’S, 71°28’W), form the Los Choros Archipelago (Castro and Brignardello, 2005). Most of the available biological knowledge about the archipelago is limited to the avifauna (Luna-Jorquera et al., 2000; Simeone et al., 2003, 2004; Mattern et al., 2004) and vascular plants (Arancio and Jara, 2007). Only recently work has been done on arthropods in this zone (Pizarro-Araya and Flores, 2004; Alfaro et al., 2009). Presently, there is no information on the solpugid fauna of the archipelago so the objectives of this study were (1) to document the species composition of the assemblages of Solifugae found on the above mentioned islands and on the continental land in front of these islands; (2) to document the relative abundance of the species, and (3) to compare the assemblage structure of the island and continental ecotopes.

Materials and methods

Study area and ecotopes. The study was conducted in the Los Choros archipelago and on the continental area facing it, including the Punta de Choros peninsula and the El Apolillado beach (29°15’S, 71°26’W) (Fig. 1; Table 1). The study area is located almost 114 km north of La Serena (29°54’S, 71°15’W). The area has a Mediterranean climate with numerous cloudy days and morning fog (Di Castri and Hajek, 1976). Air temperature is mild, with a narrow temperature range due to the proximity of the Pacific Ocean (Armesto et al., 1993). Dry years (on average less than 25 mm of annual precipitation) and wet years (more than 175 mm) occur in irregular cycles. These cycles are thought to be related to the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Novoa and Villaseca, 1989; Cepeda-Pizarro et al., 2005). In general, the landscape is characterized by a coastal steppe made up of smaller units (ecotopes hereafter) that can be created by the presence of water (e.g., arroyos, small wetlands), or may be pedological in nature (e.g., sand dunes, stony patches), or geomorphologic features (e.g., plains, alluvial fans). The geomorphological details of the study area are described by Castro and Brignardello (2005). Plant characteristics are described by Marticorena et al. (2001) and Arancio and Jara (2007). For this study, 13 sites representing the ecotopes found in the study area were selected. Four sites were located on the continent and the remaining 9 on the islands (Table 1).

Field techniques. Solpugids were collected using pitfall traps set up at the study sites (Table 1) where 2 plots (4×5 m each) were set up. Each plot contained a grid of 20 pitfall traps each separated by 1 m. The trap consisted of

Table 1. Study ecotopes located in the continental and island ecosystems of the transitional coastal desert of Chile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem</th>
<th>Ecotope</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Altitude (m.a.s.l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continent</td>
<td>Coastal Steppe</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>29°15’S, 71°26’W</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Dune</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>29°16’S, 71°23’W</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Wetland</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>29°18’S, 71°21’W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interior Coastal Steppe</td>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>29°19’S, 71°19’W</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Steppe Choros</td>
<td>CSCh</td>
<td>29°32’S, 67°61’W</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interior Stony Choros</td>
<td>ISTh</td>
<td>29°28’S, 67°59’W</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Stony Choros</td>
<td>CSTh</td>
<td>29°29’S, 67°58’W</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Coastal Steppe Damas</td>
<td>NCSD</td>
<td>29°13’S, 71°31’W</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archipelago</td>
<td>Interior Coastal Steppe Damas</td>
<td>ICSD</td>
<td>29°14’S, 71°31’W</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Coastal Steppe Damas</td>
<td>SCSD</td>
<td>29°14’S, 71°31’W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Dunes Gaviota</td>
<td>CDG</td>
<td>29°15’S, 71°28’W</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interior Dunes Gaviota</td>
<td>IDG</td>
<td>29°15’S, 71°28’W</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Steppe Gaviota</td>
<td>CSG</td>
<td>29°15’S, 71°26’W</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a plastic jar filled to two thirds with a preserving liquid as described in Cepeda-Pizarro et al. (2005). Traps were left for 3 days during each of 4 months in 2005 and 2006. The specimens captured were retrieved, cleaned, preserved and stored in the collection of the Laboratorio de Entomología Ecológica of the Universidad de La Serena (LEULS, La Serena, Chile) and in the Laboratorio de Aracnología at the Universidad de Concepción (Concepción, Chile).

Data analysis. Richness and species diversity: Species were identified using Muma (1971) and Maury’s works (1977, 1984, and 1987). Maximum-S was estimated using the bootstrapping technique (Manly, 1997) with 1 000 iterations with PAST 1.68 computer software (Hammer et al., 2001).

Assemblage structure. The level of structure was determined by applying a non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination analysis (nMDS) (Field et al., 1982; Clarke, 1993) to the Bray-Curtis and Jaccard similarity matrices (Bray and Curtis, 1957). For this analysis the clusters were determined by adjusting convex hull graphs and Kruskal’s stress value (Kruskal, 1964; Johnson and Wichern, 1992). To assess the statistical significance of the differences between the sites sampled, a one-way ANOSIM based on the distances of the Bray-Curtis index was used (Clarke, 1993). Significance was evaluated by running 50 000 permutations of the observed values. To corroborate the existence of structure, an a posteriori pair-wise ANOSIM tests were conducted, corrected by Bonferroni p-values.

Results

Species presence and diversity of the Solifugae assemblage. Three hundred and eighty three specimens were captured throughout the study belonging to the families Ammotrechidae (genera Procleobis and Sedna), Daesiidae (genus Ammotrechelis), and Mummucidae (genus Mummucia). A total of 5 species were collected, 3 of which were identified to the species level (Ammotrechelis goetschi Roewer, 1934; Mummucia variegata (Gervais, 1849), and Sedna pirata Muma, 1971), and 2 to the genus level (Procleobis sp. and Mummucia sp.). All of the species were recorded for the continent, but only 2 of them were found in the archipelago (namely, A. goetschi and M. variegata). Diversity was higher in the continental ecotopes than in the insular ones. On the continent, the ecotopes with the highest richness were the steppe type (Table 2). The S-values for all of the sites sampled were lower than expected by chance (Fig. 2).

Relative abundance of the Solifugae assemblage. The continental ecotopes provided the 65% of the total captures and the insular, 35%. Among the continental ecotopes, the highest abundance was recorded at the wetland site (37.6%), while the lowest abundance was recorded for the sand habitat (5.2%). In the insular ecotopes, the largest number of captures was made at the interior steppe site (Damas island) (27% of total captured at the insular sites). In contrast, the smallest number of captures occurred at the stony and coastal steppe sites (2.2% in both cases). On the whole, 2 species numerically dominated the assemblage. These were A. goetschi (43.8% of total captures) and M. variegata (52.5% of total captures). Whereas abundance of A. goetschi was concentrated in the wetland ecotope (93.8% of total captured in the site), that of M. variegata was, in general, evenly distributed. The abundance of the remaining species was quite low and restricted to a few sites (Table 2). For the islands, Choros Island accounted for 14.36% of the total captures, followed by Damas Island (13.32%) and Gaviota Island (7.31%).

Assemblage structure. The ANOSIM found no statistical differences between sampled sites (R= 0.097; p= 0.13; R= 0.032; p= 0.63). Sites were grouped independently of whether they were continental or insular (Figs. 3 and 4). The similarity dendrogram displayed 3 clusters with a similarity greater than 70% (Fig. 3). These clusters were (1) a grouping of steppe-type ecotopes (i.e., ICS, ICSD, Table 2. Richness and relative abundance of Solifugae for ecotopes located in the continental and island ecosystems of the transitional coastal desert of Chile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>Archipelago</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>CW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammotrechelis goetschi</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procleobis sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedna pirata</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mummucia variegata</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mummucia sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richness (S)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundance (N)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Richness and relative abundance of Solifugae for ecotopes located in the continental and island ecosystems of the transitional coastal desert of Chile
Figure 1. Study area (29°21' S, 71°10' W). Location of the continental and insular ecotopes studied. CS (Coastal steppe), CD (Coastal Dune), CW (Coastal Wetland), ICS (Interior coastal steppe), CSCh (Coastal steppe Choros), ISitCh (Interior stony Choros), NCNSD (North coastal steppe Damas), ICSD (Interior coastal steppe Damas), SCSD (South coastal steppe Damas), CDG (Coastal dunes Gaviota), IDG (Interior Dunes Gaviota), CStCh (Coastal stony Choros), CDG (Coastal dunes Gaviota).

CS, CSCh), (2) a mixed grouping of ecotopes, mainly of the dune type (i.e., NCSD, IDG, CD, ISitCh), and (3) a miscellaneous grouping formed by CStCh, CDG, and CSG. Two units remained at the root of the dendrogram (CEG and CW). The nMDS and the adjustments of the convex hull graphs showed that solpugid diversity is only structured in the steppe ecotopes, with a high degree of overlap of the observed communities among the different sites (e.g., stony and coastal) (Figs. 4A, 4B). The Kruskal stress value showed, in general, a low degree of structure (Fig. 4). The analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) using the Bray-Curtis (R = 0.6926, p = 0.00024) and Jaccard indices (R = 0.4628, p = 0.00238) showed significant differences among sites, though the paired ANOSIM tests detected no significant differences between pairs of them. Between ecosystems (continent versus insular), the analysis of similarities found no significant differences (Bray-Curtis: R = -0.1178, p = 0.7859; Jaccard: R = 0.03125, p = 0.5535).

Discussion

Although there are reports in the literature supporting the efficiency of pitfall traps as trapping devices for ground arthropods in arid environments (Pietruszka, 1980; Cepeda-Pizarro, 1989; de los Santos et al., 2000, 2002a, 2002b), they do have some limitations that should be kept in mind. For instance, it is well known that capture success depends on the density and activity of the target species, as well as the efficiency of the trap to attract or facilitate their capture and prevent their escape (Gist and Crossley, 1973; Hinds and Rickard, 1973; Adis, 1979). Since no behavioral information exists for individuals of this group, and for the purposes of this study it was assumed that the efficiency of pitfall trapping was equivalent for all the species belonging...
to the assemblage. Any bias was assumed to be minimal, thus sampling reflects species diversity and the abundance of solpugids accordingly.

**Species presence and the diversity of the Solifugae assemblage.** The southern limit of distribution of *S. pirata*, *A. goetschi* and *M. variegata* is presently set at 32º S (Muma, 1971; Cekalovic, 1975). Therefore, the presence of these species at our study sites is not surprising. For the specimens identified as *Mummucia* sp. and *Procleobis* sp., it is necessary to confirm their identity with further taxonomical analyses, which is beyond the scope of this study. Given that the presence of the genus *Mummucia* in the area is represented by *M. variegata* (Muma, 1971; Cekalovic, 1975), it is probable that *Mummucia* sp. is a record of a species new to this area. The genus *Procleobis* is represented in South America by *P. patagonicus* (Holmerg) from Argentina (Maury, 1977). The specimen of *Procleobis* captured in this study is the first record for TDC, and it is probably also a new species. Of the 5 species found in this study, 4 of them are restricted to the transitional desert of Chile (Muma, 1971) while *M. variegata* has a broader distribution that includes Peru and Bolivia (Harvey, 2003).

Taking into account the findings in the continental ecotopes, the species diversity of solpugids seems to be related to the vegetation and pedological characteristics of the ecotopes (e.g., steppe type versus dune type ecotopes), in agreement with what has been reported for solpugids from other areas (Valdivia et al., 2008; Xavier and Rocha, 2001) and other arthropod taxa inhabiting arid environments (Goloboff, 1995; Jerez, 2000; Pizarro-Araya and Jerez, 2004; Agusto et al., 2006; Pizarro-Araya et al., 2008). The field observations carried out in this study indicated that this association would be similar to that described for other mummucids and ammoncricids (Crawford, 1988; Rogers et al., 1988; Dean and Griffin, 1993; Brookhart and Brantley, 2000; Xavier and Rocha, 2001). In turn, while some species are apparently less
restricted in their habitat requirements (e.g., *M. variegata*), most of them seem to be quite specific (e.g., *A. goetschi*). Relative abundance of the Solifugae assemblage between ecosystems. The differences observed between the continental and insular ecotopes can be explained by: (1) the level of isolation of the insular systems and the extinction rate (Walter, 2004); and (2) the size of the islands given that they are rather small, ranging in surface area from 56 ha (Damas Island) to 322 ha. (Choros Island); and (3) man-made pressure. Until recently, the islands were intensively used by local people as fishing areas, guano extraction and to obtain forage for goats. Although 2 of the islands are now protected with restricted human access, they are attractive areas for tourism due to the rich marine fauna that surrounds them, including dolphins and whales (Ribeiro et al., 2007).

Abundance was the greatest in the wetland ecotope and this abundance seems to be related to good shelter and food availability. Although these are small and some are seasonal, wetland sites are quite important in the study area. By offering plant cover for shelter, adequate moisture conditions and food, these sites may favor the presence of invertebrates in an environment that otherwise would be very difficult to colonize. According to previous studies (e.g., Dean and Griffin, 1993; Xavier and Rocha, 2001; Martins et al., 2004; Rocha and Carvalho, 2006), this relationship may be related to the presence of a greater diversity of microhabitats, to a greater abundance of prey or both. On the whole, the insular ecotopes appear to be harsher environments for solpugids than the continental ones. Due to the man-made pressure and island size, the island ecotopes have less protective plant cover and these sites are more exposed to the saline oceanic winds, which are frequently quite strong.

The assemblages were numerically dominated by 2 species (*A. goetschi* and *M. variegata*). Together they accounted for 96.3% of the total captures. The distribution of these species among the ecotopes was quite different. Whereas *A. goetschi* had a rather narrow habitat preference, almost fully restricted to the continental wetland, *M. variegata* was present in both the insular and the continental ecotopes. With few exceptions, the abundance distribution of *M. variegata* was even among ecotopes. From these observations, it is assumed that of the 5 species that make up the solpugid assemblage, *M. variegata* is the most adapted to the prevailing environmental conditions. Since sites were also different with respect to their pedological features (ranging from mobile dunes to sandy or gravelly soil) the data reinforces the idea that the pedological features of the ecotope are also an important factor to the species distribution and abundance of solpugids. For instance, sites with stable sands (e.g., fossil dunes, which are common in the area) or sandy soils such as those of the steppe-type ecotopes, had a higher abundance and diversity than sites with gravelly soils (e.g., reg-type soils) or mobile sands (e.g., erg-type soils). Pedological conditions may affect body hydration, oxygen supply, oviposition, egg-protection, protective excavation, feeding activity, and even skin corrosion (Crawford, 1981; Wallwork, 1982; Dean and Griffin, 1993). For instance, according to Muma (1966a, 1966b), less compact substrates (e.g., sandy soils) facilitate excavation and thus egg and juvenile protection. This may explain the presence of *Procleobis* sp., *Sedna pirata*, and *Mummucia* sp. in the continental ecotopes, where stabilized dunes predominate (Castro and Brignardello, 2005). The insular ecotopes, in addition to offering more exposed sites as mentioned, have pedological conditions that may prevent excavation, especially for large solpugids, thus favoring the smaller species. This may explain the clear numerical dominance of *M. variegata* on the islands. *M. variegata* is about 0.7 cm long, compared to *A. goetschi* which is 5 cm long.

The assemblage structure of solpugids in the area seems to be driven by site exposure, pedological features, and food availability; however, no tight grouping was found. Based on the community analysis data, assemblages would seem to be rather loose. Unfortunately, the literature is lacking in this area and there are no other studies available for comparison. However, this characteristic of the assemblages is not surprising since most of the biological communities studied in deserts exhibit this configuration (Shmida et al., 1986; Polis, 1991).
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